

## Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



## COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED 1962 - 1966

LIBRARY
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Consumer and Marketing Service Cotton Division
Memphis, Tennessee

July 1966

## CONTENTS

Table	No.	Page No.
	Introduction	. 1
1.	Varieties by states and United States	. 3
2.	North Carolina, varieties by state	
4. 5.	South Carolina, varieties by state	
6. 7.	Georgia, varieties by state	
8.	Alabama, varieties by state	
10.	Mississippi, varieties by state	
12.	Tennessee, varieties by state	
14. 15.	Arkansas, varieties by state	
16. 17.	Louisiana, varieties by state	
18.	Missouri, varieties by state	
20.	Virginia, Florida, Kentucky and Illinois, varieties by states	. 21
21.	Oklahoma, varieties by state	
23. 24.	Texas, upland varieties by state	
25. 26.	California - Nevada, upland varieties by states	
27. 28.	Arizona, upland varieties by state	
29. 30.	New Mexico - Texas, District 6, upland varieties by states	
31.	Texas, District 6, upland varieties by counties	. 30-31
32. 33.	American-Egyptian, varieties by states	. 32

United States Department of Agriculture Consumer and Marketing Service Market News Section - Cotton Division P. O. Box 17723 - Memphis, Tennessee 38117

## Cotton Varieties Planted, United States 1962-1966

Estimates of the percentages of the various varieties of cotton planted in the United States in 1966, by counties, districts and states, are presented in this report. Comparative variety data for the four years 1962-1965 are shown by districts and states. A preliminary report containing percentage distributions of cotton varieties planted by counties and districts in the early harvesting area of south Texas was published in early June.

The variety data presented herein are based principally on estimates furnished by cotton ginners for the territories served by their gins. Supplemental information is obtained from others closely associated with cotton culture in their respective areas, such as seed breeders and dealers, and county agents. These estimates should serve as reliable guides as to the geographic distribution of the various varieties of cotton throughout the Cotton Belt.

Nearly two-thirds of the cotton acreage in the United States this year is planted to four general varieties - Stoneville, Deltapine, Acala and Lankart. This is the fourth consecutive year in which these four have been the most popular varieties planted. A percentage distribution of the ten leading general varieties planted in 1966, with comparisons for the four preceding years, is presented at the foot of this page. All strains of each particular variety are combined for comparative purposes.

Stoneville continued to gain in popularity and became the leading variety planted this year. About 20 percent of the 1966 acreage was planted to Stoneville compared with 18 percent a year earlier and 13 percent two years ago. Stoneville is the principal variety planted in Arkansas, Mississippi and Missouri. Deltapine dropped into second place among the leading varieties after a six-year reign as the most popular. Estimates indicate that this variety accounts for 19 percent of total acreage planted, down from 22 percent a year earlier but still the leading variety in four states.

Acala and Lankart are the next two leading varieties with each accounting for 13 percent of acreage planted this year. A year earlier, these two varieties accounted for 14 and 11 percent, respectively. Acala continued as the principal variety planted in District 6 of Texas and all western states except Arizona. Lankart has been the leading variety planted in Texas and Oklahoma for over 10 years.

In the southeastern area of the belt, Carolina Queen is the leading variety in each of the states ranging from 30 percent of total acreage planted in Alabama to 80 percent in South Carolina. For the entire area, this relatively new variety accounts for 53 percent of total acreage planted against 43 percent a year ago. Coker is the second most popular variety with 14 percent of total acreage followed closely by Dixie King with 13 percent.

In the south central area, Stoneville shows substantial gains over a year earlier and accounts for 45 percent of 1966 acreage against 40 percent last year. The largest proportionate increases in Stoneville plantings over a year ago are in Mississippi and Arkansas. Deltapine is the second leading variety in this area and accounts for 36 percent of the 1966 acreage. Rex and Dixie King account for six and five percent, respectively.

In the southwestern area, Lankart maintains its long-time lead over all other major varieties. This popular variety accounts for 40 percent of total acreage in this two-state area - 39 percent in Texas and 65 percent of the Oklahoma acreage. Paymaster ranks second with 12 percent of the 1966 acreage compared with nine percent a year ago. Stoneville is the third most popular variety in 1966 with 11 percent of the total. Western Stormproof regained some of its popularity of a few years ago and is the fourth leading variety with eight percent.

In the far western area, Acala continues as the predominating variety accounting for 68 percent of the area total. The Acala 4-42 strain remains the principal variety planted in California. In Nevada and District 6 of Texas, 1517C is the most popular Acala strain planted while 1517D leads in New Mexico. Deltapine Smooth Leaf accounts for 77 percent of the planted acreage in Arizona and about 80 percent of the acreage in the Imperial Valley of California. Pima S-2 remains the leading variety of American-Egyptian cotton this year accounting for 70 percent of the extra long staple acreage in the United States.


Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States, 1962-1966

Year	Acala	Carolina Queen	Coker	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Lankart	Pay- master	Rex	Stone- ville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
1962	15		7	25	3	11	2	7	5	3	22	100
1963	14	*	7	25	3	9	4	6	8	2,	22	100
1964	13	4	6	25	3	11	2	5	13	2	16	100
1965	14	7	4	22	4	11	2	4	18	2	12	100
1966	13	8	2	19	4	13	4	3	20	2	12	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Districts for Cotton Quality Reports UNITED STATES



S. Department of Agriculture

Table 1. United States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and United States, 1962-1966

United	Pet.	11 12 13 13 13	* * 4 \ \ \ \ \ \ \	LL 0 4 V	25 25 19 19	m m m 4 4	11 6 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	04004	L 0 10 4 W	13 18 20	m ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	22 22 16 12 12
Virginia	Pet.		4 62 14	35 4 7 2 5 8 3 6 5 5 6	гтаат			1111	. 49 17 16			H 10 4 4 L
Texas	Pot.	N 4 4 N 4			01010	,	31 24 37 37 37	12 8 12 12	H * H * *	11 12 11 11	00100	35 36 25 23
Tennessee	Pet.		11**0		41 38 33 28	28 29 27 35			LLLL0	3 10 17 17		21
South Ter	Pot.		3.7 655 80	86 81 30 15		8 6 8 4 4	11111		4440*			04 m 01 4
	•											
0klahoma	Pet	* [ ]	11111		00 N O N	11111	52 59 59 65	m H * N N	* [ ] [	4 N O W W	w 4 vi vi *	33 25 25 28 22
North	Pot.		L C 2 4 4 5 4 5 4	62 42 32 21	*	8 4 4 4 4	11111	1 1 1 1 1	33 34 18 0	11111		2000
New Mex1co	Pot.	. 68 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	11111		** # # #	I Lette	* 4 H H N	неесн	ee**!	****	*	01 181 0 0
Nevada	Pot.	98 25 68 8	and the same	1 1 1 1 1	10011		1111		11111	10111		13 13 2 * 1
Missouri	Pot.	1 1 1 1 1	1181*		15 15 15 15	0 m * 1 H	1111	1111	36 39 26 19	18 18 52 52		36 25 20 14
												1-11000
Mississippi	Pet.		*****	мчччч	6 L 1 2 8 4	4 4 4 4 4 4	1111	1 1 1 1 1	4 w പ പ പ	e 41 8 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		10
	t.	11111			84 78 68 57		1111	1 1 1 1 1		9 28 39 39	1111	1 35 3 2 4
Louislana	Pet	25			81000					4000		POCKET.
Kentucky	Pot.		1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	8 52 8 8 53 8 8 54 8	40110	1111	1111,	10 30 30 20	מתווו		ε1 0 Γ 4 ε
	H											
Illinois	Pot.			1 1 1 1 1	320113	11111	1111	1 1 1 1 1	31 28 28 8	34 34	1111	65 59 30 30
	- 1											
Georgia	Pet.		31 31 67	52 25 15	200644	122	1111	11111	* * ਜ਼ਜ਼ਜ਼	* * * * #		33 22 12 10
Florida	Pot.		23 37 75	45 50 34 15	нини	21121		1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 3 1	1 1 1 1 1		52 449 35 9
-												
California	Pot.	89 87 87 89	1.1.1.1.1.	91111	11 13 13 9	1111	1111	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	· * * ਜ਼ਜ਼	1111	111+4
cal												
Arkansas	Pot.			H * H * *	320 330 44 4	24444	11111	11111	36 25 12 12	16 33 48 55	1 1 1 1 1	22 11 11 8
Arizona A	Pot.	12 8 10 9	1-1-1-1		79 79 75 82	1 1 1 1 1	1111		1 1 1 1,1	44400		31702
	P4I	No. of										
Alabama	Pet.	1111	175 30 33 30 4 1	23 23 15 10 10	70000	25 26 26 27 27 28		1.1.1.1.1	4 10 00 00	нн м м Ф		26 26 13 13
4											ı.	
A			u								Pol	
Variety and Year			one a		e	King		Fi m			Hopp	The state of
Va		Acala 1962 1963 1964 1965	Carolin; 1962 1963 1964 1965	Coker 1962 1963 1964 1965	Deltapli 1962 1963 1964 1965	Dixle K 1962 1963 1964 1965	Lankart 1962 1963 1964 1965	Paymast 1962 1963 1964 1965	Rex 1962 1963 1964 1965	Stone v11 1962 1963 1964 1965	1962 1963 1964 1965 1965	0ther 1/ 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966




Table 2. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

District and State	Ginnings	Carolina Queen	Coker 100	Coker 413	Dixie King	McNair	Rex	Other	Total
	Bales .	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1									
1962	42,030	6	73	-	6	19004 5	10	5	100
1963	50,869	8	60	-	4	-	24	4	100
1964	61,835	19	50	-	4	-	23	4	100
1965	51,243	50	34	-	3	2	4	7	100
1966	-	40	16	17	6	16	2	3	100
District 2									
1962	132,074	-	64	-	*	-	35	1	100
1963	179,470	1	57		*		41	1	100
1964	182,940	28	43	-	1	_	28	*	100
1965	93,148	45	32	<del>-</del>	*	_	22	1	100
1966	-	41	16	-	-	36	7	*	100
District 3									
1962	109,868	-	55	_	2	7-	43	*	100
1963	131,607	4	61	-	2	-	30	3	100
1964	143,452	30	38	-	-	-	31	1	100
1965	91,369	46	30	-	-	3	18	3	100
1966	1 2-2	46	18	-		28	8		100
State									
1962	283,972	1	62	_	2	63333 *	33	-2	100
1963	361,946	3	59	_	1	_	34	3	100
1964	388,227	27	42	_	1	nini	28	2	100
1965	235,760	46	′ 32	-	1	2	18	1	100
1966	-	42	17	4	1	29	6	1	100
								-	

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 3. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1966

			var.	rectes,	by court	ies and d	ISULICUS	, crop of	1900	
District and County	Gins	1965 Ginnings	Carolina Queen ,	Coker 100	Coker 413	DeKalb	Dixie King	McNair	Other	Total
MARKET ST.	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1					7		/		,	
Anson	6	9,548	53	6	_	3	1 -	37	1	100
Cleveland	17	21,041	29	19	40	The - 3/	_	12	_	100
Iredell	7	2,627	48	_	_	- 1	31	10	11	100
Lincoln	4	3,348	42	30	8	3	17	_	_	100
Mecklenburg	5	2,209	63	8	1 -2	5	8	16	_	100
Rowan	3	2,314	33	9		74° -	24	20	14	100
Rutherford	4	2,396	47	-	1/2-1	27	19	-	7	100
Union	5	4,614	48	32	-	5	-	15	-	100
All other	8 .	3,146	59	17	3	*	14	3	4	100
Total	59	51,243	40	16	17	3	6	16	2	100
			Carolina	Coker	D - 17 - 7 h	26 - 27 - 4		Other		
			Queen	100	DeKalb	McNair	Rex	1/		Total
District 2	90014 pds 01				TARTARI					
Edgecombe	6	5,986	44	24	descent	27	5	-	1 (217	100
Franklin	6	3,610	78	4	-	16		2	-	100
Halifax	15	22,616	47	20	-	28	4	1	-03	100
Hertford	3	6,320	43	7	-	43	7	135-6	-	100
Johnston	9	4,004	45	10	-	38	7	-	1-00	100
Nash	11	7,321	46	20		26	8	-6 -		100
Northampton	15	23,416	27	8	-	58	7	-	-	100
Pitt	4	1,886	45	5	-	45	5	0.7.70	-	100
Warren	4	3,035	14	66	-:	14	6	70,000	-	100
Wayne	3 7	562	76	10	- /	7	7	-		100
Wilson		4,445	27	15	-	34	24	-	-	100
All other	12	9,947	41	19	1	27	12	-	-	100
Total	95	93,148	41	16	*	36	7	UVU TAS	-	100
100			Carolina Queen	Coker 100	McNair	Rex	Other 1/			Total
District 3						35	'			3061
Cumberland	4	3,656	53	32	8	7	× -	100	-	100
Harnett	10	9,116	71	8	17	4	100	MG 201		100
Hoke	4	5,459	46	18	21	14	1	STATE TATE	-	100
Robeson	21	34,119	45	24	23	8	WY-		-	100
Sampson	10	11,911	62	23	6	8	1	-	-	100
Scotland	7	21,472	29	7	59	5	-	-	_	100
All other	7	5,636	36	24	20	20		88,43	-	100
Total	63	91,369	46	18	28	8	*	100	-	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 4. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

District and State	Ginnings	Carolina Queen	Coker 100	Coker 413	Dixie King	McNair	Other 1/	Total
District 1	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	66,983 68,888 90,209 70,618	- 8 38 70 76	80 70 54 23 12	- - - 1 7	13 12 5 2 2	2	7 10 3 4 1	100 100 100 100 100
1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	247,879 259,084 322,829 278,351	5 38 69 80	87 84 57 26 12	- 3E	6 4 2 1	- - - - 6	7 7 3 4 1	100 100 100 100 100
1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	141,085 136,302 151,758 156,418	4 36 52 79	88 84 54 42 15	- 16 - 16 - 18 - 81 - 81 - 81	8 7 5 2 2	01,68 01,68 01,68	4 5 5 4 2	100 100 100 100 100
1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	455,947 464,274 564,796 505,387	5 37 65 80	86 81 56 30 13	- + 2	8 6 3 1	4	6 8 4 4	100 100 100 100 100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 5. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1966

District and	Gins	1965	Carolina	Coker	Coker	Dixie	MaNada	Other	Ma 4 - 7
County	GIIIS	Ginnings	Queen	100	413	King	McNair	1/	Total
District 1	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1									
Abbe ville	4	6,464	82	13	-	-	-	5	100
Anderson	12	14,539	87	2	-	6	4	1	100
Cherokee Chester	4 4	5,122 2,769	69 94	31 4	-	-	-	-	100 100
Edgefield	5	8,212	80	12		1	8	1	100
Greenville	3	3,492	77	20	_	3	-	-	100
Laurens	8	7,375	86	9	-	4	-	1	100
Newberry	3	2,219	62	37	-	-	-	1	100
Oconee	2	1,319	95	5	-	-	-		100
Pickens Saluda	4 3	731 4 <b>,2</b> 58	100 21	2	77	-		-	100 100
Spartanburg	6	4,705	74	23	-	1		2	100
York	8	5,633	60	5	31	3	_	1	100
All other	7	3,780	71	27	-	-	-	2	100
Total	73	70,618	76	12	7	2	2	1	100
			Carolina	Coker	Coker	M-N-	D ==	Other	m 4 3
			Queen	100	413	McNair	Rex	1/	Total
District 2									
Chesterfield	8	16,208	85	12	-	1	-	2	100
Clarendon	13	33,336	80	13		7	-	-	100
Darlington Dillon	7 9	25,102	81 <b>7</b> 1	5	10	4 19	-	-	100
Florence	14	23,339 21,918	71 76	10 23	_	<b>-</b>	- 1		100 100
Lancaster	4	3,185	68	6	26	_	-	_	100
Lee	14	46,285	91	8	-	1	-	-	100
Marion	4	5,989	<b>7</b> 8	20	-	2	-	-	100
Marlboro	13	41,797	89	5	-	4	-	2	100
Sumter	17	41,590	73	13	-	10	3	1	100
Williamsburg All other	8 5	12,502 7,100	61 76	26 24	_	13	_	_	100 100
					_	-	-	*	
Total	116	278,351	80	12	1	6	1		100
			Carolina	Coker	Coker	Dixie	McNair	Other	Total
			Queen	100	413	King	TIONALI	1/	TOTAL
District 3									
Aiken	6	14,211	85	9	-	4	1	1	100
Allendale	4	6,672	54	34	-	12	-	-	100
Bamberg	6	16,628	97	2	-	<del>-</del> 7	-	1	100
Barnwell Calhoun	4 6	10,591 16,404	77 91	<b>1</b> 6		7	_	<b>-</b> 8	100 100
Colleton	3	3,611	71	29	_	-	-	-	100
Dorchester	5	7,368	80	20	-	-	-	-	100
Hampton	6	9,810	<b>7</b> 8	4	-	-	18	-	100
Lexington	3	6,673	56	17	27	-	-	-	100
Orangeburg All other	30 1	63,137 1,313	77 60	21 30	-	1 -	10	1 -	100 100
Total	74	156,418	79	15	1	2	1	2	100
* Less than O									

<sup>•</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 6. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

District and State				varie 0:	ics, by a.	15011005	and state,	1502-150	•	
District 1  1962	and	Ginnings	Auburn				Empire	Rex		Total
District 1  1962		Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
1963	District 1									
1964	1962	136,028	2	-	50	12	<b>2</b> 8	*	8	100
1965	1963	130,221	1	10	44	16	22	-	7	100
District 2  1962	1964	139,930	2	<b>2</b> 3	30	17	20	*	8	100
District 2  1962	1965	131,127	*	45	15	19	14	*	7	100
1962	1966	-	*	70	5	13		-	6	100
1963	District 2									
1963	1962	235,474	13	-	49	9	15	*	14	100
1964 299,587 10 38 30 5 8 1 8 100 1965 257,870 6 58 23 4 2 1 6 100 1966 - 4 67 17 1 2 2 7 7 100  District 3  1962 163,156 7 - 59 15 15 * 4 100 1964 177,334 5 25 49 9 9 * 3 100 1965 172,556 2 50 37 5 5 * 1 100  State  1962 534,658 8 * 53 12 19 * 8 100 1963 604,370 11 3 50 12 16 * 8 100 1964 616,851 7 31 35 8 11 1 7 100 1965 561,553 4 53 25 8 6 1 3 100	1963		16	1	45	11		1		
1965	1964	•		38						
1966     -     4     67     17     1     2     2     7     100       District 3       1962     163,156     7     -     59     15     15     *     4     100       1963     162,618     11     1     56     11     15     *     6     100       1964     177,334     5     25     49     9     9     *     3     100       1965     172,556     2     50     37     5     5     *     1     100       1966     -     2     68     20     5     1     1     3     100       State       1962     534,658     8     *     53     12     19     *     8     100       1963     604,370     11     3     50     12     16     *     8     100       1964     616,851     7     31     35     8     11     1     7     100       1965     561,553     4     53     25     8     6     1     3     100	1965	•								
1962 163,156 7 - 59 15 15 * 4 100 1963 162,618 11 1 56 11 15 * 6 100 1964 177,334 5 25 49 9 9 * 3 100 1965 172,556 2 50 37 5 5 * 1 100 1966 - 2 68 20 5 1 1 3 100  State  1962 534,658 8 * 53 12 19 * 8 100 1963 604,370 11 3 50 12 16 * 8 100 1964 616,851 7 31 35 8 11 1 7 100 1965 561,553 4 53 25 8 6 1 3 100	1966	-		67						
1963	District 3									
1963	1962	163,156	7	_	59	15	15	*	4	100
1964 177,334 5 25 49 9 9 * 3 100 1965 172,556 2 50 37 5 5 * 1 100 1966 - 2 68 20 5 1 1 3 100  State  1962 534,658 8 * 53 12 19 * 8 100 1963 604,370 11 3 50 12 16 * 8 100 1964 616,851 7 31 35 8 11 1 7 100 1965 561,553 4 53 25 8 6 1 3 100	1963	•		1				*		
1965 172,556 2 50 37 5 5 * 1 100 1966 - 2 68 20 5 1 1 3 100 State  1962 534,658 8 * 53 12 19 * 8 100 1963 604,370 11 3 50 12 16 * 8 100 1964 616,851 7 31 35 8 11 1 7 100 1965 561,553 4 53 25 8 6 1 3 100	1964	•		25				*		
1966 - 2 68 20 5 1 1 3 100  State  1962 534,658 8 * 53 12 19 * 8 100  1963 604,370 11 3 50 12 16 * 8 100  1964 616,851 7 31 35 8 11 1 7 100  1965 561,553 4 53 25 8 6 1 3 100	1965							*		
1962     534,658     8     *     53     12     19     *     8     100       1963     604,370     11     3     50     12     16     *     8     100       1964     616,851     7     31     35     8     11     1     7     100       1965     561,553     4     53     25     8     6     1     3     100	1966	-						1		
1963     604,370     11     3     50     12     16     *     8     100       1964     616,851     7     31     35     8     11     1     7     100       1965     561,553     4     53     25     8     6     1     3     100	State									
1963     604,370     11     3     50     12     16     *     8     100       1964     616,851     7     31     35     8     11     1     7     100       1965     561,553     4     53     25     8     6     1     3     100	1962	534.658	8	*	53	12	19	*	8	100
1964 616,851 7 31 35 8 11 1 7 100 1965 561,553 4 53 25 8 6 1 3 100		•		3						
1965 561,553 4 53 25 8 6 1 3 100	1964	•						1		
1000	1965	•								
	1966	-								


<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 7. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1966

District						and distri		01 1300		
and	Gins	1965 Ginnings	Carolina Queen	Coker 100	DeKalb	Dixie King	Empire	Hy-Bee	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
County	No	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	To t		Dod	Det		D. f
District 1	No.	Dales	100.	100.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pet.	Pct.
	0	20 974	00				10			7.00
Bartow Coweta	8 3	20,874 3,600	82 -	_		<b>-</b> 52	18 48	-	-	100 100
Floyd	6	4,067	61	_	_	39	<del>-</del>	_	_	100
Franklin	3	1,380	95	-	_	-	5	_	_	100
Gordon	4	7,108	97	-	-	3	_	_	_	100
Hart	4	7,564	44	36	.4	16	-	-	-	100
Madison	3	6,741	81	-	-	19	-	-	-	100
Meriwether	4	3,832	67	-	-	9	-		24	100
Morgan	6 3	9,584	100 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Oconee Pike	3	4,401 4,263	62			13	<b>-</b> 25	-	-	100 100
Walton	7	12,300	95	_	_	3	-	_	2	100
All other	32	45,413	57	9	1	21	1	10	1	100
Total	86	131,127	70	5	1	13	6	4	1	100
			Auburn	Carolina Queen	Coker 100	Empire	Rex	Stone- ville	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
				440011	100			A1116	<u></u>	
District 2						,				
Bleckley	3	6,003	-	80	20	-	-	_	-	100
Brooks	4	3,834	7	27	33	13	-	-	20	100
Calhoun	3	7,970	22	44	29	-	-	-	5	100
Colquitt	10	23,275	11	50	28	2	1	-	8	100
Crisp	5	14,773 6,884	-	85	5	<del>-</del>	-	-	10	100
Dodge Dooly	4 8	29,414	-	82 97	11	7	_	_	<b>-</b> 3	100 100
Macon	5	9,764	12	57 57	24				7	100
Mitchell	4	7,589	_	98	2	_	_	_	_	100
Pulaski	4	12,255		70	5				25	100
Sumter	5	14,180	2	81	14	-	-	-	3	100
Taylor	7	10,693	-	95	-	-	-	-	5	100
Terrell	4	13,611	-	20	30	20	-	30	-	100
Thomas Tift	3 4	4,008 9,576	10	90 46	<b>-</b> 50	<b>-</b> 4	-	-	-	100
Turner	4	8,952	4	80	5	4	_	_	11	100 100
Wilcox	4	7,797	2	68	19	1	1	_	9	100
Worth	5	10,443	4	71	13	4	_	_	8	100
All other	28	56,849	7	58	23	2	7	-	3	100
Total	114	257,870	4	67	17	2	2	2	6	100
				Carolina	Coker	Dixie			Other	
			Auburn	Queen	100	King	Empire	McNair	1/	Total
District 3										
Bulloch	6	11,864		55	28	3	5	7	2	100
Burke	13	31,996	<del>-</del> 4	55 62	28	8	_	3	1	100
Candler	3	4,747	_	75	20	-	5	-	_	100
Emanuel	5	12,000	1	87	9	3		-	-	100
Jefferson	9	19,943	2	81	7	5	-	-	5	100
Johnson	4	8,868	3	51	42	2	2	-	-	100
Laurens	7	20,950	4	62	20	10		-	4	100
Screven	5	13,247	-	36	42	14		-	8	100
Toombs Washington	4 6	8,308 11,350	<b>-</b> 6	78 80	22 11	2	1		-	100 100
All other	17	29,283	-	83	14	1	1	-	1	100
Total	79	172,556	2	68	20	5	1	1	3	100

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



ALABAMA
DISTRICTS FOR COTTON
QUALITY REPORTS

Table 8. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

District and State	Ginnings	Auburn	Carolina Queen	Coker 100	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Empire	Rex	Stone- ville	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
District 1	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
1962	340,342	7	_	5	1	30	33	7	2	15	100
1963	411,444	5	-	6	1	33	31	10	2	12	100
1964	473,092	1	10	4	2	31	26	16	6	4	100
1965	496,987	1	21	4	4	32	16	13	6	3	100
1966	-	2	25	1	1	33	10	14	12	2	100
District 2											
1962	209,015	26	-	30	*	29	5	1	-	9	100
1963	281,562	28	*	27	1	30	4	1	*	9	100
1964	261,719	21	8	27	2	32	3	1	*	6	100
1965	224,759	17	22	20	4	29	4	1	1	2	100
1966	-	10	38	13	6	27	*	2	2	2	100
District 3											
1962	146,740	21	-	58	_	7	2	_	-	12	100
1963	181,219	19	*	56	-	10	1	1	-	13	100
1964	152,651	14	22	44	-	7	1	2	-	10	100
1965	124,351	11	29	40	*	8	*	3	-	9	100
1966	-	9	36	37	-	10	-	2	1	5	100
State											
1962	696,097	15	-	22	1	25	19	4	1	13	100
1963	874,225	15	*	23	1	26	17	5	1	12	100
1964	887,462	10	12	20	1	<b>2</b> 6	13	8	3	7	100
1965	846,097	7	23	15	3	27	10	8	3	4	100
1966	-	5	30	10	2	28	6	9	8	2	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.


<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 9. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1966

				varicoics	, = 0				·——-		
District and County	Gins	1965 Ginnings	Auburn	Carolina Queen	Coker 100	Dixie King	Empire	Rex	Stone- ville	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
District 1	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pet.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pet.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Blount	6	10,990		39	1	25	4	21	_	10	100
Cherokee	12	33,409	_	13	6	76	5	_	-	-	100
Colbert	6	27,286	-	12	-	19	-	29	40	-	100
Cullman	19	31,996	-	25	2	62	11	-	-	-	100
DeKalb	21	45,337	-	23	2	63	8	2	-	2	100
Etowah Franklin	6 4	8,222 12,668		22	4	72 60	1 2	-	33	1 5	100 100
Jackson	9	21,699	_	13	_	. 47	28	<b>-</b> 3	-	9	100
Lauderdale	12	30,682	<b>2</b> 3	2	_	8	16	43	_	8	100
Lawrence	14	55,649	3	36	-	17	12	11	19	2	100
Limestone .	19	69,214	-	46	-	3	7	7	34	3	100
Madison	23	72,997	1	22	-	29	13	21	10	4	100
Marion	4	13,487	-	24	-	46	5	25	-	-	100
Marshall	16	31,033	-	42	8	42	5	-	<del>,</del>	3	100
Morgan	12	27,838	-	19	-	13	15	49	4	-	100
Winston	4	4,480	-	31	-	59	-	-	-	10	100
Total	187	496,987	2	25	1	33	10	14	12	3	100
			Auburn	Carolina Queen	Coker 100	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Rex	Stone- ville	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
District 2											
Autauga	3	10,620	10	7	42	-	10	_	23	8	100
Calhoun	4	6,596	_	23	4	_	67	_	-	6	100
Chilton	3	5,386	-	36	46	-	3	_	-	15	100
Dallas	9	22,925	3	40	21	2	30	-	-	4	100
Elmore	6	15,310	7	66	23	-	2	-	-	2	100
Fayette	5	12,144	-	67	-	-	16	17	-	_	100
Hale	7 4	13,761 9,620	8	39 18	6	4 53	41 29	-	-	2	100 100
Lamar Lowndes	4	6,709	<b>-</b> 5	53	38	- -	4	_	-		100
Macon	5	13,287	27	58	10	_	4	_	_	1	100
Marengo	5	10,148	6	56	11	5	22	_	_		100
Montgomery	5	6,086	76	12	-	-	-	-	12	-	100
Perry	4	8,065	24	2	4	30	40	-	-	-	100
Pickens	7	13,201	-	19	-	3	78	-	-	-	100
Randolph	3	2,610	3	57	13	-	20	-	-	7	100
Russell	3	5,902	4	47	22		26	-	-	1	100
Shelby	3	9,228	12	-	21	48	13	-	-	6	100
Sumter	4	6,105	6	39	20	8	26	<del>-</del> 7	<del>-</del> 7	1	100 100
Tuscaloosa All other	5 <b>2</b> 0	12,901 34,155	- 18	53 <b>3</b> 7	- 10		30 33	7 1	*	3 1	100
						-					
Total	109	224,759	10	38	13	6	27	2	2	2	100
			Auburn	Carolina Queen	Coker 100	DeKalb	Dixie King	Rex	Wannamaker All-in-one	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
District 3											
Barbour	4	6,738	2	45	46	_	7	_	_	_	100
Bullock	3	3,275	12	37	16	_	12	-	23	_	100
Butler	3	5,678	2	50	10	-	-	-	16	22	100
Conecuh	4	6,632	4	47	44	-	-	-	-	5	100
Covington	5	9,668	6	49	24	5	16	-	-	-	100
Crenshaw	4	5 <b>,7</b> 66	21	50	27	-	2	-	-	<u>-</u>	100
Dale	3	4,714	-	12	59	-	26	-	-	3	100
Escambia	3	8,521		28	69	-	2	-		1	100
Geneva	8	20,321	7	49	27	-	15	- 17	2	- 2	100
Henry	4	11,434	8	29	28	2	14	17	-	2	100
Houston	7	12,449	10 3	41	22	6	20	-	1	<b>-</b> 5	100 100
Monroe Pike	6 4	12,286 5,433	52	18 18	74 22	-	-	<b>-</b> 6	2	5 -	100
Wilcox	4	5,433 5,821	3	18	62		20	-	-	1	100
All other	3	5,615	20	30	43	_	7	_	_	_	100
Total	65	124,351	9	36	37	1	10	2	2	3	100
		127,331	<del></del>								100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



MISSISSIPPI DISTRICTS FOR COTTON QUALITY REPORTS

Table 10. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

				1100100,	by disti	LOOD CLIC		<u> </u>		
District and State	Ginnings	Carolina Queen	Coker 100	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Rex	Stone- ville	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct:	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1										
1962	1,097,003		1	12	65	1	2	8	11	100
1963	1,368,657	1	*	5	71	-	1	14	8	100
1964	1,425,558	1	-	3	59	*	*	32	5	100
1965	1,284,844	1	-	2	52	-	*	43	2	100
1966	-	*	*	2	43	-	-	<b>5</b> 3	2	100
District 2										
1962	365,385	-	2	14	40	12	12	12	8	100
1963	450,382	-	*	6	53	8	9	19	5	100
1964	497,500	*	1	2	52	7	6	27	5	100
1965	459,615	*	1	2	43	5	4	42	3	100
1966	-	2	2	2	38	5	3	45	3	100
District 3										
1962	158,590	_	10	<b>2</b> 3	42	3	4	9	9	100
<b>19</b> 63	216,290	_	7	11	54	4	2	13	9	100
1964	210,481	-	8	1	66	2	1	16	6	100
1965	198,568	1	5	1	64	3	1	22	3	100
<b>196</b> 6	-	1	6	1	58	2	1	28	3	100
District 4										
1962	64,776	•	23	32	25	4	1	3	12	100
1963	75,313	_	17	10	48	4	1	8	12	100
1964	89,682	_	9	14	54	4	-	8	11	100
1965	67,565	1	9	11	50	6	1	11	11	100
1966	•	<b>1</b> 6	6	2	42	3	2	18	11	100
State										
1962	1,685,754	_	3	14	56	4	4	9	10	100
<b>19</b> 63	2,110,642	*	1	6	65	2	3	14	9	100
1964	2,223,221	1	î	3	58	2	1	28	6	100
1965	2,010,592	1	1	2	51	2	1	40	2	100
1966	49.	1	1	2	44	2	i	47	2	100
						_	_		_	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 11. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1966

				varieties,	by counties	and distri	cts, crop of	. 1966		
District		1965		Carolina		Delta-	DPL	Stone-	Other	
and .	Gins'	Ginnings	Auburn '	Queen	DeKalb	pine	Smooth	ville	1/	Total
County ,	37	D-1	D-4	D-4	7. 1		Leaf			
District 1	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Bolivar	50	178,650	-	-	-	4	75	20	1	100
Coahoma Holmes	43 20	145,972 <b>7</b> 5,197	_	_		_	19 74	79 25	2	100 100
Humphreys	15	73,134	-	-	2	_	48	50	_	100
Issaquena	3	12,094	-	1	-	2	56	41	-	100
Leflore	29	122,352	-	-	-		52	48	-	100
Quitman Sharkey	21 15	75,512 48,313				<b>-</b> 8	41 52	55 39	4	100 100
Sunflower	39	181,096	- 4	-	-	5	33	61	1	100
Tallahatchie	22	83,581	-	-	-	-	56	43	1	100
Tunica	18	51,551	-	4	-	-	55	40	1	100
Washington Yazoo	40 14	152,083 79,568	<b>-</b>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del> 2	6	11 25	82 72	1 1	100 100
All other	2	5,741	1	_	-	_	84	14	1	100
Total	331	1,284,844	* 	*	*	2	43	53 	2	100
			Coker	Delta-	DPL	Dixie		Stone-	Other	
			100	pine	Smooth	King	Rex	ville	1/	Total
D1-4-1-4-0				-	Leaf					
District 2										
Alcorn	5	14,581	7	-	24	33	-	16	20	100
Benton Calhoun	4 7 ~	11,923 19,023	-		24 9	1	-	67 78	8 13	100 100
Chickasaw	7	21,501	*	5	53	5	_	33	4	100
De Soto	13	37,214	-	6	35	_	-	57	2	100
Grenada	5	17,807	-	2	52	-	-	46	-	100
Itawamba	5	10,566	-	-	41	-	27	29	3	100
Lafayette Lee	3 9	12,985 27,461	_	1	47 26	6	<b>-</b> 4	52 62	1	100 100
Marshall	14	38,797	-	1	49	-	1	45	4	100
Monroe	12	35,990	3	8	66	2	-	17	4	100
Panola	20	66,856	1	-	33		-	65 	1	100
Pontotoc	7	21,602	- 19	-	5 21	10 35	-	75 24	10 1	100 100
Prentiss Tate .	8 10	18,893 39,041	19	5	44	- -	_	51	_	100
Tippah	8	24,771	4	-	28	3	31	29	5	100
Tishomingo	4	9,929	8	-	22	51	-	14	5	100
Union	5	12,619	-	-	30	2	6	58	4	100
Yalobusha	3	18,056	-	-	92	-	-	7	1	100
Total	149	459,615	2	2	38	5	3	45	5	100
				Carolina	Coker	DPL	Dixie	Stone-	Other	
			Auburn	Queen	100	Smooth	King	ville	1/	Total
D:						Leaf				
District 3										
Attala	5	13,951	2	-	9	36	-	52	1	100
Carroll Clay	4	15,132 6,751	-	-	-	96 95	_	4 4	- 1	100 100
Hinds	7	21,613	-	<b>-</b> 2	-	93 64	_	32	2	100
Kemper	4	1,647	20	-	20	41	-	5	14	100
Lauderdale	3	3,324	16	-	12	55	-	-	17	100
Leake	5	12,076	~	-	32	43 53	-	25 34	-	100 100
Lowndes Madison	7 10	14,741 35,743	10	- 2	3 6	53 41	10 1	34 38	2	100
Montgomery	3	13,006	-	<b>~</b>	-	75	-	22	3	100
Noxubee	5	13,667	1	-	-	92	-	7	-	100
Scott	4	5,805	5	1 -	10	72	4	7	1	100
Webster Winston	5 4	11,426 12,967	1	<b>-</b> 3	· -	35 56	11	65 19	_	100 100
All other	8	16,719	-	4	10	42	2	32	10	100
									2	
Total	78	198,568	3	1	6	58	2	28	2	100
				Carolina	Coker		DPL	Stone-	Other	m
			Auburn	Queen	100	DeKalb	Smooth Leaf	ville	1/	Total
District 4							Teat			<u> </u>
	_	0.00-					70	00		100
Amite	5 4	2,938 6,787	-	<b>-</b> 7	<del>-</del> 2	2	78 28	22 61	-	100 100
Copiah Jefferson Davis	5	10,953	-	26	1	-	52	9	12	100
Lawrence	3	4,425	_	9	6	-	42	40	3	100
Marion	4	4,222	7	25	16	-	39	13	-	100
Simpson	3	3,798	- 3	17	-	2	3 <b>3</b> 21	42 27	6 5	100 100
Walthall All other	4 19	3,284 31,158	3 10	44 13	10	- 12	42	4	9	100
Total	47	67,565	5	16	. 6	6	42	18	7	100
* Less than 0.5	nancent	<del></del>								

Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 12. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

District and State	Ginnings	Auburn	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Empire	Rex	Stone-	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1										
1962	517,038	*	10	33	28	13	7	3	6	100
1963	602,640	*	7	34	28	14	7	5	5	100
1964	627,002	*	6	34	25	14	7	11	3	100
1965	586,996	4	5	30	27	10	7	15	2	100
1966	-	2	4	26	35	8	5	18	2	100
District 2										
1962	31,370	-	*	5	20	59	7	-	9	100
1963	41,426	-	*	5	21	64	8	-	2	100
1964	38,689	-	2	4	36	41	6	7	4	100
1965	39,917	8	-	2	23	44	21	1	1	100
1966	-	-	-	*	37	26	17	2	18	100
a										
State										
1962	548,408	*	10	31	<b>2</b> 8	15	7	3	6	100
1963	644,066	*	6	33	29	16	7	4	5	100
1964	665,691	*	6	32	25	15	7	10	5	100
1965	626,913	4	5	28	27	12	7	14	3	100
1966	-	2	4	24	35	9	6	17	3	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.


<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 13. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1966

District and County	Gins	1965 Ginnings	Auburn	Delta- pine,	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Empire	Rex	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1											
Carroll	13	24,434	1	3	3	58	35	*	-	*	100
Chester	6	13,034	-	6	37	40	1	13	3	-	100
Crockett	12	45,189	-	7	34	27	9	-	23	-	100
Dyer	15	38,805	-	*	20	23	3	9	42	3	100
Fayette	15	40,750	6	-	25	12	*	1	56	-	100
Gibson	20	58,930	5	4	14	22	31	*	21	3	100
Hardeman	10	26,461	*	3	43	14	3	4	31	2	100
Hardin	5	6 <b>,7</b> 25	. 1	4	10	67	2	11	-	5	100
Haywood	17	51,666	-	1	36	45	1	1	11	5	100
Henderson	11	18,761	2	4	11	60	18	5	-	*	100
Lake	10	28,426	-	5	58	-	-	*	36	1	100
Lauderdale	18	53,219	*	3	19	40	2	15	17	4	100
McNairy	14	20,603	2 .	6	12	66	4	5	-	5	100
Madison	18	39,436	4	5	35	40	11	*	3	2	100
Obion	7	9,693	1	3	7	55	9	2	22	1	100
Shelby	17	38,296	6	22	25	26	-	14	5	2	100
Tipton	17	60,990	4	2	30	47	*	8	3	6	100
Weakley	4	6 <b>,7</b> 75	-	2	5	37	52	-	4	*	100
All other	3	4,803	4	-	7	51	20	- 7	-	11	100
Total	232	586,996	2	4	26	35	8	5	18	2	100
			Cobal	Carolina Queen	DeKalb	Dixie King	Empire	Rex	Stone- ville	0the <b>r</b>	Total
District 2											
Giles	4	4,391	_	10	-	61	23	4	-	2	100
Lawrence	9	17,244	3	_	3	49	25 .	20	-	*	100
Lincoln	5	11,279	_	19	3	13	38	25	2	_	100
All other	5	7,003	_	44	***	27	6	6	10	7	100
Total	23	39,917	1	14	2	37	26	17	2	1	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



ARKANSAS

DISTRICTS FOR COTTON QUALITY REPORTS

Table 14. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

District and State	Ginnings	Auburn	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Rex	Stone- ville	0ther   <u>1</u> /	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1										
1962	33,295	_	3	25	15	*	49	*	8	100
1963	36,949	-	-	33	12	1	39	4	11	100
1964	31,139	1	5	28	13	4	27	17	5	100
1965	32,834	-	1	14	34	-	26	17	8	100
1966	-	-	4	15	24	-	15	33	9	100
District 2										
1962	880,037	*	11	4	16	3	53	7	6	100
1963	848,743	1	11	2	15	2	44	20	5	100
1964	909,765	1	6	*	11	1	38	40	3	100
1965	808,049	*	4	2	8	1	25	58	2	100
1966	-	2	2	2	8	1	19	64	2	100
District 3										
1962	79,151	*	4	7	45	*	20	16	8	100
1963	99,105	2	3	2	55	1	11	19	7	100
1964	83,146	1	_	1	64	_	9	19	6	100
1965	93,088	2	4	*	50	*	8	32	4	100
1966	-	1	2	4	49	-	3	37	4	100
District 4										
1962	465,640	1	29	9	39	*	12	5	5	100
1963	516,103	1	22	5	42	*	14	9	7	100
1964	559,119	1	12	4	43	-	6	27	7	100
1965	511,459	1	13	5	37	1	4	36	3	100
1966	-	2	10	10	31	-	3	40	4	100
State										
	1,458,123	1	17	6	26	2	36	7	5	100
	1,500,900	1	14	4	26	1	34	16	4	100
	1,583,169	1	8	3	26	1	25	33	3	100
	1,445,430	1	7	3	21	1	16	48	3	100
1966	- 0 5	1	5	5	19	1	12	55	2	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 15. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1966

District and County	Gins	1965 Ginnings	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Empire	Rex	Stone- ville	Summers	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1											
Faulkner	3	5,663	-	4	18	15	14	35	9	5	100
White	7	8,547	-	-	77	•	20	-	**	3	100
Yell	3	8,759	-	43	-	on	-	52	-	5	100
All other	5	9,865	12	10	1	1	24	48	-	4	100
Total	18	32,834	4	15	24	3	15	33	2	4	100
			Auburn	Delfos	Delta- p <b>i</b> ne	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Rex	Stone- ville	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
District 2					<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<del></del>
Clay	19	38,184	2	2	1	-	1	36	49	9	100
Craighead	31	97,244	4	3	_	4	_	30	86	<i>9</i>	100
Crittenden	38	105,249	_	<u>.</u>	4	4		39	53	•	100
Cross	19	40,141	-	1	2	1	-	33	59	4	100
Greene	14	37,314	1	-	-	4	-	11	78	6	100
Jackson	17	44,490	7	-	1	7	15	38	27	5	100
Lawrence	9	18,157	,11	-	-	-	-	13	48	28	100
Mississippi	61	213,149	-	2	1	5		16	74	2	100
Poinsett	25	95,789	-	2	-	19	1	7	71	-	100
Randolph St. Francis	6	11,866	2	13	8	5 <b>1</b> 5	2	18 9	60 60	5 2	100 100
Woodruff	26 12	66,794 39,672	1 2	13	<b>-</b> 8	24		19	46	1	100
Total	277	808,049	2	2	2	8	1	19	64	2	100
					·				T	1	T
				Coker		Delta-	DPL		Stone-	Other	
			Auburn	100	Delfos	pine	Smooth Leaf	Rex	ville	1/	Total
District 3					l	L				<u></u>	L
	^	07 715				2	48		48	1	100
Ashley Columb <b>i</b> a	9	37,715 2,594	_	3	-	3	18	<b>-</b> 57	19	3	100
Drew							27		50	~	100
	5	11.829	649	_	17	.3	//	_		3	
	5 3	11,829 1,410	-	-	17 -	3		<del>-</del> 7		3 5	
Hempstead	3	1,410		-			57	- 7 -	31	3 5 3	100
			-		-	-		7		5	100
Hempstead Lafayette	3 4	1,410 14,524	p4 p4	<b>-</b> 2	-	-	57 80	7 -	31 15	5 3	100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River	3 4 3	1,410 14,524 4,888	- - 7	- 2 4	-	-	57 80 50	7 - 4	31 15 35	5 3 -	100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller	3 4 3 3	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082	- - 7 -	- 2 4 -	- - -	<b>-</b> - - 9	57 80 50 68	7 - 4 -	31 15 35 23	5 3 -	100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other	3 4 3 3	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046	- - 7 - 5	- 2 4 - *	- - - -	- - - 9 13	57 80 50 68 34	7 - 4 - 11	31 15 35 23 30	5 3 - 7	100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other	3 4 3 3	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046	- - 7 - 5	- 2 4 - *	- - - -	- - 9 13 4	57 80 50 68 34	7 - 4 - 11	31 15 35 23 30 37	5 3 - 7 3	100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other	3 4 3 3	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046	- - 7 - 5	- 2 4 - *	- - - -	- - 9 13 4	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth	7 - 4 - 11	31 15 35 23 30	5 3 - 7 3	100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total	3 4 3 3	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046	- - 7 - 5	- 2 4 - * 1	2	- - 9 13 4	57 80 50 68 34 49	7 - 4 - 11 3	31 15 35 23 30 37	5 3 - 7 3	100 100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total	3 4 3 8 3 8	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088	- - 7 - 5	- 2 4 - * 1	- - - - 2 Delfos	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf	7 - 4 - 11 3	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville	5 3 - 7 3	100 100 100 100 100 Total
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas	3 4 3 8 38	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088	- - 7 - 5	- 2 4 - * 1	- - - - 2 Delfos	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf	7 - 4 - 11 3	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville	5 3 - 7 3 Other <u>1</u> /	100 100 100 100 100 Total
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot	3 4 3 8 38	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088	- - - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb	- - - - 2 Delfos	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf	7 - 4 - 11 3 Rex	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville	5 3 - 7 3 Other <u>1</u> /	100 100 100 100 100 Total
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot Desha	3 4 3 8 38	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088 8,308 43,875 51,673	7 - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb	- - - - 2 Delfos	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf	7 - 4 - 11 3 Rex	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville	5 3 - 7 3  Other 1/	100 100 100 100 100 100 Total
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot Desha Jefferson	3 4 3 8 38 38 5 9 14 29	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088 8,308 43,875 51,673 80,183	- - - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb	- - - - 2 Delfos	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf 29 44 22 39	7 - 4 - 11 3 Rex	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville 38 40 75 22	5 3 - 7 3  Other 1/  1 3 1 4	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot Desha Jefferson Lee	3 4 3 8 38 38 5 9 14 29	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088 8,308 43,875 51,673 80,183 61,503	- - - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb	Delfos  3 10 1 11 1	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf 29 44 22 39 34	7 - 4 - 11 3 Rex	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville 38 40 75 22 56	5 3 - 7 3  Other 1/  1 3 1 4 3	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot Desha Jefferson Lee Lincoln	3 4 3 8 3 8 38 5 9 14 29 19	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088 8,308 43,875 51,673 80,183 61,503 39,848	- - - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb	Delfos  3 10 1 11 1	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf 29 44 22 39 34 26	7 - 4 - 11 3 Rex	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville 38 40 75 22 56 28	5 3 - 7 3  Other 1/  1 3 1 4	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot Desha Jefferson Lee Lincoln Lonoke	3 4 3 8 3 8 38 5 9 14 29 19 10 16	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088 8,308 43,875 51,673 80,183 61,503 39,848 68,479	- - - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb	Delfos  3 10 1 11 1 47	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf 29 44 22 39 34 26 4	7 - 4 - 11 3 Rex  14 1 6	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville 38 40 75 22 56 28 36	5 3 - 7 3  Other 1/  1 3 1 4 3 4	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot Desha Jefferson Lee Lincoln Lonoke Monroe	3 4 3 8 38 38 5 9 14 29 19 10 16 12	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088 8,308 43,875 51,673 80,183 61,503 39,848 68,479 39,700	- - - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb	Delfos  3 10 1 11 1	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf 29 44 22 39 34 26	7 - 4 - 11 3 Rex	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville 38 40 75 22 56 28	5 3 - 7 3  Other 1/  1 3 1 4 3 4	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot Desha Jefferson Lee Lincoln Lonoke	3 4 3 8 3 8 38 5 9 14 29 19 10 16	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088 8,308 43,875 51,673 80,183 61,503 39,848 68,479 39,700 99,394	- - - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb 4	Delfos  3 10 1 11 1 47	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf 29 44 22 39 34 26 4 59	7 - 4 - 11 3 Rex 14 1 6 7	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville 38 40 75 22 56 28 36 16	5 3 - 7 3  Other 1/  1 3 1 4 3 4 - 1	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot Desha Jefferson Lee Lincoln Lonoke Monroe Phillips	3 4 3 8 38 38 5 9 14 29 19 10 16 12 40	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088 8,308 43,875 51,673 80,183 61,503 39,848 68,479 39,700	- - - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb 4 4	Delfos  3 10 1 11 1 47 -	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf 29 44 22 39 34 26 4 59 41	7 - 4 - 11 3  Rex  14 - 1 6 - 7 2	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville 38 40 75 22 56 28 36 16 49	5 3 - 7 3 Other 1/  1 3 1 4 3 4 - 1 2	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Hempstead Lafayette Little River Miller All other Total  District 4 Arkansas Chicot Desha Jefferson Lee Lincoln Lonoke Monroe Phillips Pulaski	3 4 3 3 8 38 38 38 5 9 14 29 19 10 16 12 40 10	1,410 14,524 4,888 7,082 13,046 93,088 8,308 43,875 51,673 80,183 61,503 39,848 68,479 39,700 99,394 10,384	- - - 5 1 Auburn	- 2 4 - * 1 DeKalb 4 4 4	Delfos  3 10 1 11 1 47 - 26	- - 9 13 4 Delta- pine	57 80 50 68 34 49 DPL Smooth Leaf 29 44 22 39 34 26 4 59 41	7 - 4 - 11 3 Rex  14 1 6 - 7 2 26	31 15 35 23 30 37 Stone- ville 38 40 75 22 56 28 36 16 49 32	5 3 - 7 3  Other 1/  1 3 1 4 3 4 - 1 2 1	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

<sup>•</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 16. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

						dira boa			
District and State	Ginnings	Auburn	Carolina Queen	DeKalb	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Stone- ville	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
	Ba <b>l</b> es	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1									
1962	115,787	5	_	_	29	57	5	4	100
1963	156,228	2	_	_	23	56	<b>1</b> 5	4	100
1964	111,102	3	_	*	11	59	24	3	100
1965	116,558	2		_	26	45	26	1	100
1966	_	2	-	*	21	52	25	*	100
District 2									
1962	685	26	_	_	28	_	1	45	100
1963	921	41	_	_	-	19	_	40	100
1964	600	48	_	_	19	13	_	20	100
1965	469	_	_	_	69	27	_	4	100
1966	-	23	-	-	_	41	_	36	100
District 3									
1962	310,956	1	_	_	21	64	9	5	100
1963	399,966	ī	_	1	9	68	17	4	100
1964	384,523	1	1	*	6	63	29	*	100
1965	354,114	*	1	1	5	54	39	*	100
1966	-	1	1	-	4	50	43	1	100
District 4									
1962	112,766	*	_	_	21	65	13	1	100
1963	118,097	-	_	*	6	70	24	*	100
1964	91,680	-	_	1	2	65	32	*	100
1965 ,	87,749	_	_	1	6	58	34	1	100
1966	-	-	-	2	6	54	38	_	100
State		,							
1962	540,194	2	_	_	23	6 <b>1</b>	9	5	100
1963	675,212	1	_	*	11	67	17	4	100
1964	587,905	1	1	*	6	62	28	2	100
1965	558,890	1	1	1	9	53	35	*	100
1966	-	1	1	1	8	49	39	1	100
	2 -								

<sup>•</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 17. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by parishes and districts, crop of 1966

District and Parish	Gins	1965 Ginnings	Auburn	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Stone- ville	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
District 1	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Bossier Caddo Natchitoches Rapides Red River All other	9 13 9 13 4 3	16,443 37,400 15,902 33,995 8,052 4,766	, 4 4 3 - - 1	26 6 18 30 55 3	50 77 74 20 30 40	20 13 5 50 11 56	- - - - 4	100 100 100 100 100
Total	51	116,558	2	21	. 52	<b>2</b> 5	*	100
3			Auburn	DPL Smooth Leaf	Empire	Rex	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
District 2 Total	2	. 469	23	41	18	18	-	100
			Carolina Queen	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Stone- ville	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
District 3								
Catahoula Concordia East Carroll Franklin Madison Morehouse Ouachita Richland Tensas West Carroll All other	4 6 11 17 6 10 6 16 6 10 2	14,566 14,334 42,541 58,408 22,808 48,437 22,412 59,846 28,704 33,227 8,831	- 1 - 1 - 4 - 2	6 3 1 4 6 8 6 4 2	25 49 32 51 63 46 69 54 49 59 35	68 46. 65 45 28 41 21 35 49 38 52	1 2 - 2 5 4 3 -	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Total	94	354,114	1	4	50	43	2	100
District			De Kalb	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Stone- ville	Other	Total
District 4								
Acadia Avoyelles Evangeline Lafayette Pointe Coupee St. Landry St. Martin All other	4 11 10 8 3 20 3 7	7,330 21,457 11,286 6,447 4,206 30,002 2,315 4,706	1 - 14 - - - -	4 8 2 1 - 9 18 5	73 40 68 44 74 53 28 56	22 52 16 55 26 38 54 39	-	100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Total	66	87,749	2	6	54	30	_	100

<sup>•</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 18. Missouri: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, 1962-1966

Year	Ginnings	Auburn	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Fox	Rex	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
1962	471,677	4	2	15	2	15	36	9	17	100
1963	460,433	5	2	13	3	8	39	18	12	100
1964	419,088	9	1	11	*	5	26	41	7	100
1965	393,091	5	4	11	-	3	19	52	6	100
1966	_	6	9	5	1	2	21	52	4	100

Table 19. Missouri: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1966

County	Gins	1965 Ginnings	Auburn	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Fox	Rex	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Butler	10	18,581	-	-	6	-	2	7	81	4	100
Dunklin	35	90,442	12	4	3	-	-	17	59	5	100
Mississippi	13	33,018	2	21	1	6	*	24	45	1	100
New Madrid	39	99,527	4	9	5	1	5	19	54	3	100
Pemiscot	31	106,635	2	15	6	-	-	26	47	4	100
Scott	8	15,879	4	*	8	-	5	28	51	4	100
Stoddard	12	27,024	13	1	12	-	3	30	39	2	100
All other	1	1,985	-	-	-	-	15	40	40	5	100
Total	149	393,091	6	9	5	1	2	21	52	4	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 20. Other Southeastern and South Central States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1962-1966

	1										<del></del> -	
State		01	Carolina	Coker	D - W - 71	Delta-	DPL		_	Stone-	Other	m 1 - n
and	Ginnings	Auburn	Queen	100	DeKalb	pine	Smooth	Fox	Rex	ville	1/	Total
Year			,	<u>'</u>			Leaf					
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Virginia												
1962	5,956	_	-	50	1	-	-	_	49	-	_	100
1963	9,395	-	-	72	5		-	-	23	-	*	100
1964	11,477	_	4	74	4	1	-	-	17	-	*	100
1965	6,481	-	23	55	4	1	-	-	17	-	*	100
1966	-	-	41	36	2	-	-	-	16	-	5	100
Florida												
1962	10 070	17		45	6	1				_	31	100
1962	12,272 14,936	24	-	50	10	-		_	_	_	16	100
1964	13,555	23	23	41	3	_	_	_	_	_	10	100
1965	12,185	12	37	34	2	1		_		_	14	100
1966	-	4	<b>7</b> 5	15	2	_	_		_	_	4	100
1500		·	, 0		_						·	
Kentucky												
1962	4,849	-	-	-	1	26	47	12.	10	-	4	100
1963	5,473	-	-	-	-	-	62	3	30	-	5	100
1964	4,493	-	-	-	-	10	48	5	35	-	2	100
1965	5,089	-	-	-	-	15	40	4	36	5	-	100
1966	-	-	-	-	-	24	42	3	20	8	3	100
T2 2 1 1												
Illinois												
1962	1,763	-	-	-	-	-	3	59	31	-	7	100
1963	1,776	-	-	-	-	-	-	57	41	-	2	100
1964	1,955	-	-	-	-	-	-	65	35	-	-	100
1965	1,221	1	-	-	-	9	-	28	28	34	-	100
1966	-	-	-	-	-	32	-	30	8	30	-	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 21. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

District and State	Ginnings	DPL Smooth Leaf	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Stone-	Storm King	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1										
1962	246,935	2	60	1	16	4	*	-	17	100
1963	255,475	4	64	*	13	4	2	-	13	100
1964	222,791	4	65	1	15	2	3	-	10	100
1965	271,382	-	62	2	18	2	2	1	13	100
1966	-	3	71	3	5	3	3	5	7	100
District 2										
1962	25,222	21	21	-	3	9	33	-	13	100
1963	27,302	25	23	-	1	10	28	-	13	100
1964	20,347	26	27	-	1	10	28	-	8	100
1965	32,964	21	42	*	2	9	13	2	11	100
1966	-	37	34	1	2	13	3	-	10	100
District 3										
1962	32,545	1	35	10	6	17	9	-	22	100
1963	41,812	12	38	7	4	21	8	-	10	100
1964	34,927	-	43	4	1	18	10	-	24	100
1965	53,393	-	50	9	6	13	-	1	21	100
1966	-	10	53	12	3	8	4	-	10	100
State										
1962	304,702	3	52	2	14	6	4	_	19	100
1963	324,589	6	58	1	11	6	5	_	13	100
1964	278,065	5	59	1	12	5	6	-	12	100
1965	357 <b>,7</b> 39	2	59	2	15	4	3	1	14	100
1966	-	8	65	4	4	5	3	3	8	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 22. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1966

istrict and County	Gins	1965 Ginnings	DPL Smooth Leaf	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Stone- ville	Storm King	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
trict 1											
eckham	12	37,035	_	68	3	7	3			7.0	300
laine	4	4,829	_	31		17	6	-	<b>-</b> 29	19 17	100
addo	15	36,202	_	73	5	2	14	-	29	4	100
omanche	3	2,462	_	48	_	27	20	_	_	. 5	100
uster	4	13,166	_	97	_	· _	_	_	_	3	100
reer	7	19,099	_	71	3	2	2	18		4	100
armon	7	18,945	_	15	_	9	_	14	46.	<b>1</b> 6	100
ackson	12	32,604	27	33	11	12	_	7	4	6	100
Lowa	9	23,742	_	91	_	7	_	_		2	100
oger Mill	s 3	5,030	-1	43	28	_	_	_	_	29	100
lllman	12	37,731	-	95	. 1	_	_	_	_	4	100
ashita	10	34,211	-	93	-	_	-	_	_	7	100
ll other	3	6,326	-	71	-	8	21	-	-	-	100
Total	101	271,382	3	71	3	5	3	3	5	7	100
6			DPL Smooth Leaf	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Pay-	Stone- ville	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
trict 2											
anadian	4	4,487	-	51	4	_	3	27	-	15	100
ogan	3	1,576	-	95	-	-	2	-	2	1	100
ıskogee	6	11,313	79	10	. –	-	10	-	-	1	100
11 other	11	15,588	22	41		5	18	-	7	7	100
Total	24	32,964	37	34	1	2	13	4	3	6	100
-											
B			DPL Smooth Leaf	Half and Half	Lankart	Lockett	Parrot	Pay- master	Stone- ville	Other	Total
trict 3											
r <b>y</b> an	4	6,285	10		24	21	24	_	30	1	100
rady	6	12,018			97	<b>-</b> 21	-			3	100
efferson	3	5,854	_	1	62	<b>-</b> 3	- 22	_		12	100
ll other	11	29,236	- 19	6	39	17	5	7	-	7	100
											·
Total	24	53,393	10	3	53	12	8	4	4	6	100

Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 23. Texas: Estimated percentage of upland cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1962-1966

						by d	istricts	and stat	te, 196	2-1966					
District and State	Upland ginnings	Acala	DPL Smooth Leaf	Gregg	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Pay- master	Rilcot	Stone- ville	Storm	Stripper	Western Storm- proof	Other 1/	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	2,321,157 2,111,746 1,901,338 2,239,200	2 1 2 3 2	- - - -	34 41 27 17	30 21 34 39 39	2 * ' 2 2 4	1 * * *	10 25 16 18 24	- 2 6 9 5	- * *	4 2 1 3	- - - 2 2	7 3 6 5 6	10 5 6 2 7	100 100 100 100
District 2															
1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	612,003 614,119 477,915 676,342	1 - - -	* - -	8 7 8 10 4	27 30 32 35 41	7 4 6 4 3	12 15 13 12 8	5 5 3 3 4	- - - 1	* 1 1	4 4 3 3 2	- - - -	29 29 28 27 32	7 6 6 5 4	100 100 100 100 100
District 3	11,353			_	42	7	6	3					32	10	100
1963	11,986	-	-	1	39	23	2	-	-	-	-	-	29	6	100
1964 1965	11,937 13,592		-	-	42 45	3 <b>5</b>	2 4	5 -	2 2	-	-	-	3 <b>5</b> 3 <b>7</b>	11 7	100 100
1966	-	-	-	-	70	2	2	-	5	-	-	-	16	5	100
District 4 1962	436,911	-	*	1	74	1	5	*	-	*	2	-	*	17	100
1963 1964	498,970 426,179		*	-	76 83	*	5 4	*	-	- *	3 2		-	16 11	100 100
1965 1966	457,505	-	*	-	88 88	2 2	2	1	-	*	1	-	-	6	100
District 5 1962	102,144	-	42	-	11	1	4	-	-	4	-	-	-	38	100
1963	118,526	-	46	-	10 16	-	4	*	-	7 16	* -	-	-	33 19	100 100
1964 1965 1966	101,743 100,729	-	46 57 53	- - -	16 7 13	-	2	-	-	21 24	-	-	-	13 7	100
District 6 1962	225,782	69	3	-	8	-	1	*	-	<b>1</b> 3	-	-	-	6	100
1963	214,786	65 59	9	- 1	9 10	1 10	1	* 1	-	11 11	-	-	-	4 2	100 100
1964 1965 1966	181,487 1 <b>76,</b> 377	59 78 84	6 6 3	1 * -	10 2 1	2 -	2	*	-	5 2	-	- *	-	5 10	100
District 7															
1962 1963	28,0 <b>5</b> 3 29, <b>15</b> 3	- 1	* 1	1 1	41 50	- 1		1 -	-	-	6 2	-	44 3 <b>5</b>	7 9	100 100
1964	17,892	-	1	-	57	-	*	-	-	-	2	-	30	10	100
1965 1966	49,063 -	1 -	1 -	-	63 64	-	- 3	1 -	-	-	1	-	28 24	5 9	100 100
District 8										20	2			20	100
1962 1963	282,242 223,084	-	8 8	*	27 32	- 1	4 3			20 28	3 3	-	-	38 25	100 100
1964	309,973		11	-	33 38	-	2		-	29 31	3 2	-	- *	22 18	100 100
1965 1966	271 <b>,</b> 072 -	-	8 <b>5</b>	- -,	38 3 <b>5</b>	-	5	-	*	36	4	-	-	15	100
District 9	200 046		16		5		1	_	_	24	5	-	-	49	100
1962 1963	202,046 211,736	-	16 33	-	5 4	-	1	-	-	39	2	-	-	21	100
1964	235,460 193,566	-	31 39	-	3 4	-	1	-		44 43	2 2	-	-	19 12	100 100
1965 1966	193,300	*	39 37	-	2	-	-	-	-	49	3	₩	-	9	100
District 10 1962	472,625	-	6	-	2	-	2	-	-	53	*	-	-	37	100
1963	316,327	-	4	*	3	1	1	-	-	62 57	*	-	-	29 30	100
1964 1965	370,405 422,044	-	8 12	-	3 2	1	1	-	-	64	1		-	19	100
1966	-	-	10	-	2	1	1	-	*	71	1			14	100
State 1962	4,694,316	5	2	19	31	2	3	6	-	7	3	-	9	13	100
1963 1964	4,3 <b>5</b> 0,433 4,034,329	4 4	4	21 14	24 34	1 2	3 3	12 8	1 3	11 9	2 1	-	6 7	11 11	100 100
1965	4,599,490	5	6	9	36	2	2	9 <b>1</b> 2	4 3	12 11	2 1	1 1	<b>6</b> 8	6 8	100 100
1966		4	4	6	37	3	2	12	3	11		1			100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

				vari	eties, by o	counties and	districts,	crop of 19	56			
District		1965						Storm		Western	Other	
and	Gins	Upland	Gregg	Lankart	Lockett	Paymaster	Rilcot	King	Stripper	Storm- proof	<u>1</u> /	Total
County	No.	ginnings Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pet.	Pct.	Pct.	Pet.	Pet.	Pct.	Pct.
District 1	140.	Dates	100.		1001							-
Bailey	21	93,816	49	8		12	28	-	•	-	3	100
Briscoe	7	24,296	7	19	_	42	11	-	-	-	21	100
Castro	15	54,868	26	-	-	69	-	-	-	-	5	100
Cochran	15	66,827	77	'5		-	14	-	-	-	4	100
Crosby	20	151,155	-	48 45	<b>22</b> 3	23 1 <b>2</b>	_	11	_	20	7 9	100 100
Dawson Deaf Smith	25 3	143,640 8,832	30	45 <b>-</b>	-	60	_	-	_	-	10	100
Floyd	22	121,341	1	5 <b>2</b>	_	32	_	-	-	7	8	100
Gaines	17	70,748	8	17	-	64	2	-	-	-	9	100
Glasscock	3	12,321	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	60	35	100
Hale	35	216,475	9	41	1	38	2	-	1	-	8	100
Hockley	35	199,140	2	40	7	18 1	24	-	1 -	<b>-</b> 91	8 8	100 100
Howard Lamb	12 34	46,109 193,141	<b>-</b> 21	13	1	44	7	<u>-</u>	6	91	8	100
Lubbock	40	271,943	-	66	2	. 11	_	-	11	_	10	100
Lynn	23	185,643	2	76	2	9	-	2	-	-	9	100
Martin	11	66,087	2	9	12	-	-	-	-	54	23	100
Midland	3	13,810	-	33	27	-	-	-	-	25	15	100
Parmer	16 13	58,040	31 16	-		50 <b>7</b> 0	11 2	-	<del>-</del> 7	_	8 5	100 100
Swisher Terry	21	53,203 142,272	3	61	15	70	_	2	_	_	12	100
Yoakum	9	43,340	11	50	-	9	4	-	-	3	23	100
All other	3	2,153	39	9	4	8	16	-	-	4	20	100
Total	403	2,239,200	10	39	4	24	5	1	2	6	9	100
		-, ,-		+			+		<del> </del>			
			Onege	Lankart	Toolsott	Northern	Pormoston	Stone-	Storm	Western Storm-	Other	Total
			Gregg	Lankart	Lockett	Star	Paymaster	ville	King	proof	<u>1</u> /	Total
District 2				<del></del>		<del></del>	<del></del>	-				<del></del>
Baylor	3	7,555	_	72	2	2	_		11	10	3	100
Childress	4	21,825	_	31	7	23	_	_	6	20	13	100
Coleman	3	6,719	_	19		57	_	-	_	12	12	100
Collingsworth	8	37,975	31	46	-	4	5	-	7	-	7	100
Cottle	6	18,360	-	60	11	25	-	-	-	. <del>.</del>	4	100
Dickens	6	23,794	-	76	7	-	6 35	-	-	16 8	2 10	100 100
Donley Fisher	4 8	12,943 55,943	40	- 17	- -	-	33 -	-	_	79	4	100
Foard	4	3,810	_	34	54	11	-	-	-	-	i	100
Garza	6	18,498	-	46	-	-	-	-	7	37	10	100
Hall	14	56,449	10	28	11	10	13	-	-	16	12	100
Hardeman	5	11,539	-	63	6	11	9	-	-	7	4	100
Haskell Jones	13 16	55,337 57,214	-	64 86	_	20 8	1	_	10	1	4	100 100
Knox	8	23,385	_	54	4	1	2	35	_	-	4	100
Mitchell	8	45,108	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	96	4	100
Motley	4	10,276	-	30	-	28	-	-	-	38	4	100
Nolan	6	26,853	-	11	2	2	2	-	-	78	5	100
Runnels	13	48,704	-	<b>7</b> 5	-	-	-	-	-	20 95	5 <b>5</b>	100
Scurry Stonewall	9	60,738 5,023	-	<b>-</b> 89	_		_	_		95	3	100 100
Taylor	5	10,572	_	60	3	_	1	_		20	16	100
Wheeler	7	16,447	14	22	11	-	51	-	-	-	2	100
Wilbarger	10	22,798	-	53	21	20	-	-	-	-	6	100
All other	5	18,477	2	40	-	33	-	-	-	17	8	100
Total	178	676,342	4	41	3	8	4	1	2	32	5	100
					1	1	Western					
			Lankart	Lockett	Northern	Rilcot	Storm-	Other				Total
					Star		proof	1/				
District 3												
Total	12	13,592	70	2	2	5	16	5	-	-	-	100
				<del>                                     </del>	1	1	<del>                                     </del>					1
			Anton	Delta-	Lankart	Lockett	Northern	Paymaster	Rex	Storm	Other	Total
			1410011	pine	- Daniela v	Zookerr	Star	l aj mas sez	l	King	<u>1</u> /	10001
District 4												
Bell	15	16,784	7	_	84	_	_		_	_	9	100
Collin	20	40,006	-	_	94	1	2		-	2	1	100
Coryell	3	4,216	-	-	93	2	-	-	_	-	5	100
Dallas	3	5,268	-	~	99	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
Delta	6	16,628	-	-	96	3	-	-	-	1	-	100
Denton Ellis	4 26	5,777 61,208	-	-	97 95	- 2	1	-	-	- 1	3 1	100
Falls	11	11,508	11	1	73	2	-			-	13	100 100
Fannin	15	20,369	-	_	88	1	10	-	1		-	100
Grayson	7	10,417	-	-	95	_	2	-	_	_	3	100
Hill Hill	21	46,904	1	-	97	1	-	-	-	-	1	100
Hunt Johnson	15 7	24,574	-	-	87	2	2	-	1	6	2	100
Jonnson Kaufman	7 5	13,247 12,769	_	-	93 95	4	1	<b>-</b> 3	_	-	2 <del>-</del>	100 100
Lamar	9	15,060	_	14	95 58	4	11	-	6		<del>-</del> 7	100
Limestone	3	2,052	-	-	88	-	-	_	-	-	12	100
McLennan	15	24 <b>,97</b> 5	3	-	94	-	-	-	-	-	3	100
Milam	9	17,378	5	-	87	2	-	-	-	-	6	100
Navarro Rockwall	12 5	23,154 9, <b>9</b> 56	-		87 75	9	3	-	-	- 22	1	100
Tarrant	4	9,956 6,736	-		75 73	-	18		•	<b>22</b> 3	<b>-</b> 6	100 100
Williamson	32	59,652	7	-	81	_	-	5	-	-	7	100
All other	5	8,867	-	- •	94	-	2	-	-	-	4	100
Total	252	457,505	2	1	88	2	2	1	•	1	3	100

Table 24. Texas: Estimated percentage of upland cotton acreage planted to specified varieties,

	<u> </u>		1				s, crop of					
District and County	Gins	1965 Upland ginnings	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Stone- ville	0ther <u>1</u> /			Total
	No.	Bales	Pct.	Leaf Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
District 5 Bowie	3	7,398	_	99								100
Brazos	7	27,027	-	46	-	-	-	<b>-</b> 51	1	-	-	100 100
Grimes Hopkins	5 4	4,591 1,699	-	89	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	100
Houston	3	8,429	-	43	97 11	1 -	-	41	2 5	-	_	100 100
Red River Robertson	4 6	5,899 28,539	8 4	-	44'	40	8	-	-	-	-	100
All other	18	17,147	4	69 <b>2</b> 9	<del>-</del> 47	6	2	22 6	5 6	-	_	100 100
Total	50	100,729	2	53	13	3	1	24	4	-	-	100
			Acala 1517BR	Acala 1517C	Acala 1517D	Acala 1517V	Del Cerro	DPL Smooth Leaf	Lankart	Stone- ville	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
District 6				<del></del>				Lear	<b>_</b>	1		
El Paso	21	47,013	1	45	47	3	. 1	-	*	2	1	100
Hudspeth Pecos	5 8	13,078 30,301	- 1	66 88	17 1	4	3 2	<b>-</b> 5	-	3	10	100 100
Reeves	15	73,048	1	63	-	8	22	3	1	2	_	100
All other	4	12,937	33	52	1	2	1	10	-	1	-	100
Total	53	176,377	3	62	14	5	10	3	1	2	*	100
			Blight Master	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Western Storm- proof	0ther <u>1</u> /				Total
District 7												
McCulloch Tom Green	4 7	5,728 29,040	-	13 83	21		61	5	-	-	-	100
All other	5	14,295	7	46	_	3 4	11 36	3 7	_	-	-	100 100
Total	16	49,063	2	64	3	3	24	4	-	-	-	100
			Anton	Delfos	DPL Smooth	Lankart	Northern Star	Stone- ville	Storm King	Tideland	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
District 8					Leaf							
Austin	9	9,684	6	_	24	22		34	_	_	14	100
Bastrop	3	2,801	22	-	-	68	-	-	_	-	10	100
Bee Burleson	3 8	5,669 19,613	-	-	19	46 6	5	9 70	27 -		13 5	100 100
Caldwell	5	6,663	48	-	-	8	-	-	29	1	14	100
Colorado Fayette	3 6	9,607 4,405	17 26	-	12 8	17 54	-	46 <b>-</b>	-	-	8 12	100 100
Guadulupe	5	4,960	7	-		82	-	-	_	-	11	100
Hays Karnes	4 5	4,414 6,484	16 13	_	_	39 68	-	-	<b>22</b> 6	-	23 13	100 100
Lavaca	10	6,855	10	-	2	79	-	2	-	-	7	100
Nueces Refugio	28 4	68, <b>2</b> 46 16,090	-	12	12	18 24	15 -	45 56	<del>-</del> 6	-	10 2	100 100
San Patricio	21	82,807	-	-	4	49	2	35	3	3	4	100
Travis Washington	8 5	7,498 4,622	12 8	-	28	79 31	-	<b>-</b> 25	-	-	9	100 100
All other	9	10,654	5	-	-	34	-	38	11	3	9	100
Total	136	271,072	4	3	5	35	5	36	4	1	7	100
			Acala	DeKalb	DPL Smooth Leaf	Lankart	Rex	Stone- ville	Storm King	Other <u>1</u> /		Total
District 9												
Brazoria	5	14,832	-	-	40	-	-	54	-	6	-	100
Calhoun Fort Bend	5 17	15,678 48,396	-	-	59 39	-	- 1	38 55	_	3 5	-	100 100
Jackson	6	13,271	-	-	66	-	-	26	2	6	_	100
Matagorda Victoria	7	15,434	<b>-</b> 5	-	57 5	<b>-</b> 27	2	41 21	<b>-</b> 35	- 7	-	100 100
Wharton	8 20	16,187 68,053	-		25	-	9	60	-	5		100
All other	1	1,715	-	-	75	-	-	20	-	5	-	100
Total	69	193,566	*	*	37	2	4	49	3	5	-	100
			Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Stone- ville	Storm King	Tideland	Other <u>l</u> /	Total
District 10				1 2001								•
Cameron	37	154,718	8	8	-		-	75	_	7	2	100
Hidalgo	27	169,739	3	5	1	-	-	77	1	12	1	100
Jim Wells Starr	4 3	8,725 5,299	<del>-</del> 6	-	31 6	<b>-</b> 6	25 -	<del>-</del> 61	- 5	-	44 16	100 100
Willacy	13	62,409	1	3	1	6	-	81	-	8	-	100
Zavala All other	3 8	10,971 10,183	-	95 76	- 17	-	-	-	1	- 1	5 5	100 100
Total	95	422,044	4	10	2	1	1	71	1	9	1	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

Less than 0.5 percent.

Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 25. California - Nevada: Estimated percentage of upland cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1962-1966

State and Year	Upland ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 1517C	Acala 1517D	Acala 1517V	Experi- mental Acala	DPL Smooth Leaf	0ther <u>1</u> /		Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
California										
1962	1,934,848	89	_	_	-	-	11		-	100
1963	1,734,887	87	-	-	-	-	13	*	-	100
1964	1,786,547	87	_	-	_	-	13	*	-	100
1965	1,716,777	87	-	-	-	-	12	1	-	100
1966	-	86	-	-	-	2	9	3	-	100
Nevada										
1962	6;670	_	49	49	_	_	_	2	-	100
1963	5,267	1	34	33	-	-	9	23	-	100
1964	5,045	*	97	-	-	-	2	1	_	100
1965	3,806	1	92	6	2	-	-	1	-	100
1966	-	1	66	24	7	-	-	2	-	100

Table 26. California: Estimated percentage of upland cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1966

								OP 01 13		
County	Gins	1965 Upland ginnings	Acala 4-42	Experi- mental Acala	Acala Strain A	Del Cerro	DPL Smooth Leaf	Stone- ville	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Fresno	77	444,579	98	2	-	-	-	-	-	100
Imperial	18	160,088	-	-	4	11	76	9	-	100
Kern	66	444,059	96	4	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kings	25	222,464	99	1	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madera	<b>1</b> 6	73,479	100	*	_	-	-	-	-	100
Merced	10	58,449	100	-	-	-	-	-	_	100
Riverside	9	66,614	1	-	7	1	91	*	_	100
Tulare	45	247,045	100	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	266	1,716,777	86	2	1	1	9	1	-	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 27. Arizona: Estimated percentage of upland cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, 1962-1966

Year	Upland ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 1517C	Acala 1517D	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Hopi- cala	Stone- ville	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
1962	878,434	2	2	5	-	82	-	5	4	100
1963	758,336	3	2	4	-	84	-	5	2	100
1964	739,371	1	5	3	*	84	-	5	2	100
1965	738,649	11	4	3	6	69	1	5	1	100
1966	-	2	3	3	5	77	3	6	1	100

Table 28. Arizona: Estimated percentage of upland cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1966

County	Gins	1965 Upland ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 1517C	Acala 1517D	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Hopi- cala	Stone- ville	0ther <u>1</u> /	Total
	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Cochise	6	20,387	-	42	34 ·	-	-	-	-	24	100
Graham	5	15,064	-	44	51	-	-	1	-	4	100
Maricopa	55	287,828	1	-	-	2	85	5	7	-	100
Pima	11	44,093	22	12	6	14	8	14	12	12	100
Pin <b>al</b>	50	270,300	2	-	-	9	82	1	5	1	100
Yuma	14	97,044	*	-	-	-	100	-	*	-	100
All other	1	3,933	-	2	98	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	142	738,649	2	3	3	5	77	3	6	1	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 29. New Mexico - Texas, District 6: Estimated percentage of upland cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1962-1966

State and Year	Upland ginnings	Acala 1517BR	Acala 1517C	Acala 1517D	Acala 1517V	Acala 1517 Stroman	Del	DPL Smooth Leaf	Rilcot	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New Mexico			24								
1962	235,756	2	- 23	57	2 "	2	1	*	-	17	100
1963	227,615	.5	25	45	1 1/2		1	*	1	23	100
1964	221,067	15	29	37	*	-	1	1	3	14	100
1965	205,660	17	33	36	1	1	1	*	1	10	100
1966	-	7	17	37	19	5	1	1	5	8	100
Texas, Dist. 6											
1962	225,782	3	47	19	F. 7	-	3	3	-	25	100
1963	214,786	6	36	23		***	1	11	-	23	100
1964	181,487	3	42	13	43.1		*	8	-	34	100
1965	176,377	4	59	14	-		5	6	-	12	100
1966		3	62	14	5	-	10	3	-	3	100

Table 30. New Mexico: Estimated percentage of upland cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1966

County	Gins	1965 Upland ginnings	Acala 1517BR	Acala 1517C	Acala 1517D	Acala 1517V	Acala 1517 Stroman	Gregg	Lankart	Rilcot	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
2-3-1	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Chaves	9	45,210	-	24	61	15	-	-	_	-	d - 61	100
Dona Ana	19	46,498		14	. 27	28	22	-	-	-	9	100
Eddy	11	39,779	4	28	53	13	-	-	-	-	2	100
Lea	8	25,161	60	6	-	3	-	3	15		13	100
Luna	5	21,904	-	14	51	32	1	-	-	-	2	100
Roosevelt	5	14,981	-	-	-	The Late of the	-	37	3	52	8	100
All other	6	12,127	*	14	11	45	1	1	1	11	16	100
Total	63	205,660	7	17	37	19	5	3	2	5	5	100

Table 31. Texas, District 6: Estimated percentage of upland cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1966

County	Gins	1965 Upland ginnings	Acala 1517BR	Acala 1517C	Acala 1517D	Acala 1517V	Del	DPL Smooth Leaf	Lankart	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	No.	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct:	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
El Paso	21	47,013	. 1	45	47	3	1	-	*	2	1	100
Hudspeth	5	13,078	-	66	17	4	3	-	-	-	10	100
Pecos	8	30,301	1	88	1	-00	2	5	-	3	1-01	100
Reeves	15	73,048	1	63	•••	8	22	3	1	2	-	100
All other	4	12,937	33	52	1	2	1	10	-	1	-	100
Total	53	176,377	3	62	14	5	10	3	1	2	*	100

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 32. Estimated percentage of American-Egyptian cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1962-1966

State and Year	A-E ginnings	Pima S-1	Pima S-2	Pima S-3	Pima S-4	Pima Stroman Select	Other	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Arizona 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	57,112 77,711 54,603 44,833	1 1 5 223	99 99 99 95 76 91			23	855 - 227 - 228 - 228 -	100 100 100 100
California 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	1,334 1,200 910	-	100 100 100 100	7			255	100 100 100 100
New Mexico 1962 1963 1964 1965	10,830 19,433 14,445 8,437	31 26 38 45 34	68 74 61 51 57	- - - - 3	Sa. 1 s	- - - 2 6	1 - 1 2	100 100 100 100
Texas, District 6 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	41,842 62,733 46,435 31,404	32 29 25 31 29	67 71 74 66 66	- - - - 5.	ALE ALE		1 1 3	100 100 100 100 100
United States 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	109,784 161,211 116,683 85,584	18 14 14 21 26 16	81 86 85 78 78	- - - - 2	-	- - - 1	1 1 1 -	100 100 100 100 100

Table 33. Estimated percentage of American-Egyptian cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and states, crop of 1966

State and County	1965 A-E ginnings	Pima S-1	Pima S-2	Pima S-3	Pima S-4	Pima Stroman Select	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
	Bales	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Arizona Graham	11,831	12 84	84	3	1	ADA TON		100
Maricopa Pima	18,407 5,444	2	99 98	SP27.5B	1	Let Vennin	1915	100
Pinal	9,151	-	97	-	3	and a smile	- 0	100
Total	44,833	223	7695	1	1	7,013		100
California								
Riverside	910	-	100	4 4	13.	100 N	-	100
Total	910	200	100		-	E 150.S	-	100
New Mexico								
Dona Ana	8,437	34	57	3	20 1 6	6	12 1 - 60	100
Total	8,437	34	57	3	-	6	1 -00	100
Texas, District 6			as made					
El Paso	24,055	31	64	5	-	-	-	100
Hudspeth	858	28	45	27	, - ·	-	-	100
Reeves	6,491	25	<b>7</b> 5	-	-	÷	-	100
Total	31,404	29	66	5	-	-	-	100

<sup>•</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



